

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/677,013	10/01/2003	Benjamin L. Bakos	12182/3	9525		
7590 05/25/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
David W. Okey			CORBIN, A	CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
Brinks Hofer G		A DOTT LO LIET	D 4 2 2 2 3 4 2 2 2 2			
P.O. Box 10395		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Chicago, IL 6	0610	1761				
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/677	,013	BAKOS, BENJAMIN L.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Arthur L	Corbin	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress		
	. •		TO EVELOE AMONTH	(O) OD TUUDTY (20	), DAVO		
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MENT OF T	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION  event, however, may a reply be time  d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					·		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 May 2006					
'=		2b)⊠ This action is					
3)	,—						
,	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex par</i> te (	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the a	nnlication		•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.		•		
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer					
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2</u>		cepted or b) objected	d to by the Examine	·r		
. ,—	Applicant may not request that any object						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		· -	• •	R 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	O-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority						
	3. Copies of the certified copies			ed in this National S	Stage		
	application from the Internation	•	` ''	•			
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	• •		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F		152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/677,013

Art Unit: 1761

claimed.

1. Claims 7-25 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 12, 2006. Applicant's traversal has been considered but is not convincing. Regardless of the burden imposed by searching all of the pending claims, the fact remains that the 3 inventions are independent and distinct, as set forth in Paper No.
041106. Additionally, a search for the elected invention does not involve searching subclasses that would be searched for the non-elected inventions. Further, vegetables, such as potatoes, can be <u>sliced</u> so as to form a planar surface, despite applicant's belief otherwise. Cooking of the product is not an issue since a cooked product is not

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis in claim 1 for "the oil content" (claim 6). Correction is required without new matter.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,013

Art Unit: 1761

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mottur et al (col. 1, lines 9-12) or Ellis et al (col. 4, line 20) in view of Lodge et al (Fig. 1). Mottur et al discloses square shaped, planar, seasoned potato chips or corn chips. Ellis et al discloses flat, seasoned corn chips. It would have been obvious to prepare the chips in either primary reference to be in the shape of a slice of bread and include perforations (claim 5) since it is well known to prepare oval shaped, perforated potato chips, as evidenced by Lodge et al, and since bread, e.g. rye bread, can have an oval shape. Finding the optimum area of the chips as well as the optimum thickness and optimum oil content thereof (claims 1, 4 and 6) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/677,013

Art Unit: 1761

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 4

5-24-06